

Submission ID: 19244

I have concerns about the overall viability of the scheme due to various factors:

Please advise the climate goals for the scheme.

Please advise the social value of the scheme.

The climate emergency and realigning to the COP26 and 27 protocols require further reductions in carbon and other pollutants. Please advise how this scheme meets these Internationally recognised data?

The scheme utilises a substantial amount of land which could be suitable for house building amounting to in excess of 6,500 units. Please explain how this benefits the local communities?

The complete lack of Public Transport running through the scheme prevents those without a car or access to a car from using this infrastructure. How does this benefit local communities?

The proposed design does not interface with local distributor roads. Please explain.

Please demonstrate value for money as construction inflation over the time since the scheme was announced amounts to in excess of 69%.

What is the latest CBR?

Does the CBR still demonstrate value for money considering the additional delay of over two years?

Why is Public Transport not a key element of the scheme?

The quoted Transport Data is very out of date. Covid and office 2/3 day working is having a substantial impact on commuter traffic. Please explain how these factors have been accounted for in the data submitted? Please explain how transport modelling will still be current for some 8 years time when the scheme might be ready for use?

Sustainable Transport has a lower Carbon Footprint, please explain where sustainability features in the scheme.

Why has a rail freight crossing not been included in this project? Large volumes of freight enter through Dover by lorry and this is unfavourable to the environment. Please explain?

Thank you

Simon Johnson